





Strengthening responses to the Triple Threat in the Southern Africa region – learning from field programmes in Malawi, Mozambique and Zambia

Joint Project of Concern Worldwide (CW), Oxfam International (OI) and the Southern Africa Regional Poverty Network (SARPN)

Zambia

1. Background

A number of Concern Worldwide and Oxfam-International projects were visited in Zambia between the 1st and 10th of May as part of the joint project on strengthening responses to the Triple Threat of HIV/AIDS, food insecurity and weakening capacity for service delivery in the Southern African region¹. The project consisted of a blend of policy level discussions with organisations such as Concern, Oxfam-GB, Women for Change, and HODI and on-site reflections of field staff currently engaged in development work in Mongu District in Western Province. The objective was to debate and document the experiences and understanding of practitioners engaged in supporting communities to achieve livelihood security taking account of factors underpinning a complex situation.

The following represents some of the field observations, which are shared to elicit commentary and debate amongst interested parties. At the outset it was recognised that southern Africa is experiencing a complex crisis, with both acute and chronic dimensions. Drawing on the lessons of the 2001-03 livelihoods crisis and more recent experiences, particularly those of field practitioners, a more nuanced response strategy is called for. A number of issues emerging from the field exercise as crucial with regards to livelihood insecurity in Zambia have been grouped as common themes.

2. Livelihoods in Western Province

Discussions with staff of the Concern and OGB district offices, as well as partners of both organisations, provided a rich understanding of diverse livelihood strategies across Western Province. In many ways a shared analysis emerged around the drivers of food insecurity with some differences in terms of the emphasis.

Overall livelihoods have been seriously impacted since the 2001 regional livelihoods crisis, exacerbated by the outbreak of livestock disease (contagious bovine plural pneumonia, CBPP) that decimated the asset base of many people. The reduction in cattle affected food crop production, as cattle provide draught power and field fertilisation for essentially poor, sandy soils, which resulted in a decrease in the size of cultivated land per household².

¹ The underlying problems of HIV/AIDS, food insecurity and weakening capacity for service delivery (triple threat) is rapidly reversing development gains, leaving communities and whole societies more vulnerable to external shocks, such as the effects of the dry-spell that impacted the region in late 2005.

² Pigs and goats have been promoted as an alternative to cattle, which has impacted negatively on the environment, particularly the sensitive flood plains.

Livelihoods in Western Zambia also to a large extent depended on rain-fed agriculture and access to labour. Thus the impact of climate variation, in particular rainfall failure, and chronic illness were perceived to be the major drivers of food insecurity. Rainfall variation coupled with high temperatures in the 2004/5 production season significantly reduced both cereal and non-cereal yields in many districts in Western Zambia. This combined with other underlying vulnerabilities such as the lack of state support, particularly in terms of agricultural extension and inputs, reduced many households access to food and other essential non-food items.

The lack of government support, which had also become "normalised", accelerated food insecurity. For example, the fact that there was no veterinary services or cattle inoculation meant that the onslaught of CBPP was seen as an unfortunate livelihood shock rather than a preventable disease that should have been averted as a right³.

HIV/AIDS was also widely recognised as a major driver of food insecurity. The Food Security Assessment conducted by Concern and MDFA claimed that a high number of people had inadequate information about HIV/AIDS; many in rural areas look at HIV as a disease of town people who return to the village to be cared for when sick⁴. Typically respondents did not suspect the chronically ill to be suffering from AIDS but are thought to have been bewitched.

An important dimension to this relationship was the urban-rural connection whereby urban-based family members, who being more exposed to HIV, often returned to their rural home with AIDS. The double burden of caring for the sick and the loss of an urban remittance thus exacerbated the situation faced by many rural households. The fact that many households were now "preoccupied" with caring for those who were sick meant that available resources were not focused on sustainable livelihoods.

The relationship between poverty and HIV/AIDS was also acknowledged, particularly as limited options pushed vulnerable groups into dangerous coping strategies. For example many groups interviewed claimed that women and girls commonly engaged in transactional sex as a coping strategy if a shock such as a crop failure impacted a household.

According to many practitioners, another significant driver of food insecurity was a lack of access to land and other resources by the most vulnerable households. These included female-headed households affected by inheritance disputes. In some instances property grabbing or dispossession was causing destitution. This in turn often led to women being forced into sex work leading to heightened exposure to HIV infection. When combined with evidence that female-headed households tend to be poorer in general than their male-headed household neighbours, International Non-Governmental Organisations (INGOs) face a serious challenge to devise means to protect the rights of poor households (and particularly poor female-headed households) to land within future poverty alleviation and rural development strategies.

As a result of these multiple stressors, it was widely felt that many communities had not really recovered since the livelihoods crisis of 2001 and were definitely worse off than during the 1990s. In particular the erosion of assets such as livestock meant

_

³ The current emphasis on goats as an alternative to cattle may be undermined by CCPP for goats, which decimated this livestock population in West Pokot in Kenya in the late 1990s, without linking it to an inoculation programme.

⁴ Concern (2005) "Food Security assessment Final Report", August, Mongu, Zambia.

that the resilience of many households had decreased. Resilience implies that a household or community has the ability to absorb shocks (such as the death of a household head) and stresses (such as limited access to health services) because they have well-diversified assets and conduct a range of livelihood activities⁵. As a result many households or communities in Mongu had weakened capacity to anticipate, manage, resist or recover from the impact of a natural or other threat. In other words, they were more vulnerable to changes around them.

However, some practitioners also argued that there was evidence of increasingly diversified livelihood strategies being employed across the district. This was largely a result of communities utilising the different environmental options available as they sought alternative strategies in a changed environment⁶. For example, a Food Security Assessment conducted by Concern and the Mongu District Farmer Association (MDFA) challenged the notion that livelihoods in Western Zambia were based only on subsistence farming of staple food crops such as maize. The report held that sources other than own food production made up 57 percent of total food sources and that up to 43 percent of food needs were purchased or exchanged for labour in Mongu district.

Despite this optimism around livelihood diversification, it was conceded that many coping strategies, utilised during times of stress, had become normalised. For example, the increased use of mango porridge outside of the hungry season, as well as dependence on wild foods was increasingly common. It was also acknowledged that fishing and fish trading, as an increasingly important source of income, would likely fall under increasing pressure due to environmentally unsustainable methods⁷.

3. Working with Institutions

In terms of responding to livelihood insecurity and the multiple facets underpinning the "triple threat", a number of lessons were observed around working with existing institutions. These include the interaction between existing government institutions, such as the District Disaster Management Committee and the Health Forum.

There was widespread agreement amongst the staff of the INGOs that increasing government and NGO co-operation focused on longer-term development was necessary with a decreasing emphasis on an emergency response. Government structures had the potential of being more permanent, which through a capacitating process could support communities in the long-term especially when the INGOs pulled out of an area. However, it was not a given that government structures were permanent as evidenced by the reduction of the extension services due to government neglect and changes induced by structural adjustment.

Despite a general consensus that working with government was necessary, there were important differences in opinion about the terms of this interaction. In particular this involved concerns about being "controlled" by local government and relinquishing the independence of INGO work traditionally "outside" that of the state. These concerns appeared relevant, particularly in a context where agencies needed to

⁵ In a diversified household, if one productive activity does not provide enough, or fails completely, there are other sources of livelihood that the household can fall back on.

⁶ An interesting indicator of change was the increased use of cassava to supplement maize, as its drought-resistant qualities were increasingly recognised by communities.

⁷ A conflict of interest has arisen between fishing and agriculture where prolonged rainfall failure has forced farming communities to place rice fields along waterways, which affects water flows and fish numbers.

move fast in order to respond effectively to an emergency situation. An inadequately prepared DDMC could prove to be a hindrance in some situations. Another fear included being associated with or being drawn into government "white elephants" (for example costly but ineffective agricultural stations) might impact on the legitimacy of INGO interventions.

Overall it was, however, recognised that close co-operation and partnership with government opened opportunities for advocacy. The linkages with government structures would help create sustainable interventions, particularly if the national government could be held accountable to allocating sufficient resources to decentralised structures. In turn local government should be held accountable to work with local community-based institutions.

In practice, Concern had actively sought strong partnerships with local government structures. Their support ("capacitating") of the District Disaster Management Committee (DDMC) at the District Commissioner Office was intended to support the co-ordination of different interventions across Mongu. The DDMC were mandated by national government to co-ordinate responses to disasters, including slow-onset emergencies that required concerted long-term planning. Discussions with the District Commissioner and two members of his staff, including the Director of Planning, emphasised a need to move away from dependency in Mongu district. This "syndrome" was seen to be prevalent as a result of widespread food aid and community expectations around handouts. Essentially the DDMC was struggling with moving the focus in Mongu away from a relief and rehabilitation mode to that of longterm development that would ensure disaster preparedness partly through underpinning community resilience. The DDMC believed that they should identify and target areas most in need and then direct agencies to operating in appropriate areas. However, at present the Committee remained under-funded, evident by a lack of vehicles in an area without adequate road infrastructure.

A gap in terms of sustainable partnerships for INGOs in Mongu was that of the private sector. According to head office staff interviewed, this limitation in Western Province was due to the position of the Traditional Barotse Royal Establishment, which discouraged private investors. Part of the problem was around property rights and the control that the Establishment held over land. This kind of disincentive has been overcome in other parts of southern Africa, such as in Mozambique, where investors were encouraged through a framework that attempted to protect both their and community rights.

In terms of working with existing institutions to meet an existing need amongst communities, the example of the District Health System was illustrative. It was acknowledged that the high prevalence of HIV (at about 22%) would lead to a significant increase in AIDS cases, which would seriously impact on the overstretched health facilities. The inability of the district health system to cater for the sick would have to be supplemented by INGOs working strategically with these structures. In particular the increase in more effective HBC initiatives was mentioned. However, a view that was not articulated within Mongu was that HBC might be considered as "burden shifting" from the public health system back onto fragile household systems. This is not to condemn the very necessary HBC system but to raise awareness of the dangers of raising the expectations of what such a system could accomplish. In many ways it might overwhelm communities without careful linkages back to professional health support.

4. Strengthening Existing and New Livelihood Programmes in Mongu

When considering which interventions needed to be strengthened or attempted as something "new" in a context of HIV/AIDS, such responses need to be adapted to reflect specific vulnerabilities relating to the epidemic. As has been widely cited in development literature, one of the central issues in agricultural input programmes is the availability of labour within the household (or clusters of inter-related households). The impact of HIV/AIDS on food security suggests that households may suffer serious labour constraints, which means affected households might be unable to participate effectively in agricultural input programmes.

However, the extent to which labour constraints are affecting participation in agricultural programmes remains unclear. In many instances, households with chronic illness are able to find sufficient labour through social networks. Research in Zambia maintains that the utility of labour-saving technology has been overgeneralised, although such technologies may be appropriate for certain types of households and regions⁸. Other research argues that labour-saving technologies may even be harmful if they further drive down wage rates that are already falling due to HIV-induced cash-constraints on ability to hire⁹. Emphasis may need to be placed on other ways of assisting these households, such as cash transfers to help them with labour hire.

When considering "new" options in terms of livelihood interventions that engaged with chronic and acute food insecurity and HIV/AIDS, it was widely recognised that very few innovative approaches had been attempted. This was apart from the OGB cash transfer project, which was designed as a humanitarian response and which was criticised by organisations outside of OGB partnerships. OGB staff in particular felt that the lack of new initiatives was part of the reason why livelihoods had not recovered more significantly. As such, OGB staff felt that many programmes in Mongu were too rigid or too focused on one dimension of a livelihood strategy to be effective. The integration of different issues would be a better way of engaging the full spectrum of livelihood strategies and enable beneficiary communities to choose and adapt what best suited their situation.

Although research around livelihoods was an operational norm of both OGB and Concern, discussions with staff from both organisations revealed that there needed to be more focus on the cultural underpinnings of livelihood strategies. In particular there needed to be a greater understanding of broader community resources and livelihood options of the past. For example, it was recognised that some households were never food insecure as they survived on a variety of different foods, including less popular traditional crops, throughout the year. Those dependent on food crops such as maize and cassava were perceived to be more vulnerable to food insecurity in a context of climate variability. Understanding the seasonal food types and cultural attitudes towards them, as well as sourcing seeds for propagation, would be useful for future interventions.

Another range of "new" options existed around the water canals and using these to underpin sustainable fishing and irrigation for certain crops through careful

⁹ Dorward, A. and Mwale, I., 2005: *Labor market and wage impacts of HIV/AIDS in rural Malawi*. Paper presented at the international Conference on HIV/AIDS and Food and Nutrition security, Durban, 14-16 April.

⁸ Jayne, T.S., and Chapoto, A., 2005: Characteristics of individuals afflicted by AIDS-related mortality in Zambia. Working paper No. 14, Michigan State University, Food Security Research Project, Lusaka, Zambia.

community planning and sharing of the water resources¹⁰. Building on fishing and fish trading, although an obvious option for livelihood interventions, would need to take cognisance of a locally imposed three-month ban on fishing during the fish-breeding period. It was also evident that livestock projects did not include the concept of "passing on" offspring (of goats and chickens), which was widespread in Malawi and visibly appealed to partner organisations such as the Network of Zambian People Living with HIV/AIDS when discussed.

In terms of improving existing programmes, a limitation of HIV sensitisation programmes was identified. This was the lack of a livelihood base to provide broader opportunities to support people dealing with the epidemic. It was argued that sensitisation had to be linked to livelihood options for the messages to have meaning otherwise the lack of alternatives rendered the information meaningless. Despite investment into awareness campaigns, it was held that inadequate information around HIV/AIDS was widespread.

5. Cash Transfers in Mongu, Zambia

A significant "new" intervention visited in the district was Oxfam's emergency cash transfer programme. The large-scale use of cash as an emergency response to food crises is relatively new for international NGOs. OGB implemented the unconditional cash transfer programme as its sole response to projected household food deficits as assessed by the VAC and INGOs and caused by low levels of rainfall in the cultivation season. OGB used assessment information available from the Zambian Vulnerability Assessment Committee and an INGO assessment, which both concluded that a large-scale relief response was needed in different areas in Zambia. In contrast, the assessment undertaken by Concern and MDFA concluded that the impact of drought would not be catastrophic given the highly developed set of livelihood options. A baseline study conducted by OGB confirmed that the two districts were not facing a humanitarian crisis with little sense of acute suffering.

Although the programme ended in March 2006 when crop harvests became available, it was part of an exploration into appropriate responses to acute food insecurity and intended to broaden Oxfam's experience in using cash as a tool to respond in emergencies. This can be understood to be part of current thinking around social protection and in particular social transfers. OGB regional staff would like to see experiences gained in Western Province feed into longer-term social protection although at the time of planning they were solely thinking about mounting an appropriate response to acute needs

The cash transfer programme in Mongu and Kaoma district involved unconditional transfers of 90,000 Zambian Kwacha (equivalent to a standard food aid ration) per household per month to 13,500 vulnerable households for a four-month period¹¹. The programme aimed to assist households that were had a 50% or more reduction in their harvest. Targeting was a combination of geographic and community-based targeting, with the latter conducted by village level local committees using certain criteria. Standard Chartered Bank and a contracted security company delivered the cash to distribution points, using the police as armed guards. Bank and OGB staff distributed the cash in individual envelopes to registered beneficiaries in person (or their named deputy). Several monitoring exercises were carried out throughout the

¹⁰ It was alleged that earlier work around clearing the canal network had stopped because of people's access to relief food. Concern intended to revisit this in its latest strategy.

¹¹ For an evaluation of the cash transfer programme see Harvey, P and Marongwe, N (2006) 'Independent Evaluation of Oxfam GB Zambia's Emergency Cash-Transfer Programme.

exercise to analyse the impact of the programme, including an analysis of local markets.

According to the evaluation of the programme, the response seems to have played a preventative role, rather than responding to an acute crisis. Even those that did not benefit from the cash transfer directly were able to benefit from indirect sharing of assistance and the greater availability of piecework. Recipients of cash transfers primarily purchased food with the cash, which helped protect consumption levels and reduced the need for piecework and gathering wild foods thus enabling recipients to work more on own agricultural production. Those households that did not receive relief did "cope" largely by intensifying existing livelihood strategies, including intensified piecework, greater reliance on wild foods and reducing household consumption¹². The evaluation and insights from OGB partner organisations also confirmed that the cash transfers had a positive effect on local economies creating multiplier effects. At least some food was purchased from local producers or farmers in neighbouring areas.

During discussions with the DDMC it appeared that local government structures did not know about the cash transfer programme and felt that this would create dependency and erode existing livelihoods. However, the independent evaluation of the programme and discussions with OGB staff argued to the contrary. According to these sources, the DDMC had agreed that the initiative target specific vulnerable wards (as per their function). Nonetheless, it became clear through a number of discussions that OGB should have consulted more widely, particularly with government officials and the MDFA, at the outset of the project.

Part of the DDMC discourse around "co-ordination" was levelled against the cash transfer programme, which was perceived to run contrary to development programmes and to have created "dependency". It seemed that there was some overlap in terms of the geographic area in which OGB and Concern operated, which had created some misunderstandings about intentions¹³. It was also evident during discussions with organisations not directly involved in the programme that there was a perception that the programme had caused widespread anti-social behaviour. It was felt that the transfer could disrupt existing social dynamics within villages and would be misused. This was particularly expressed by the DDMC. The evaluation and OGB's own monitoring argued that it seemed likely that any anti-social use was relatively limited.

Certainly communication was a major limiting factor in establishing the cash transfer programme. If the DDMC could act as a forum to facilitate better co-ordination and to act as a vehicle for communication, then it is recommended that such opportunities be explored. It seems opportune to present the independent evaluation of the cash transfer programme in a forum such as the DDMC and elicit a debate to increase understanding and learning about cash in an emergency situation. In the context of a nation-wide social protection scheme involving cash transfers intended to help

and targeting mechanisms as they were already operating in some wards where the cash transfer programme was implemented. They believed that their programme to support winter cropping should have been better co-ordinated particularly over the issue of targeting where it was felt that beneficiaries of the winter cropping programme should not have been targeted for cash transfers. In this way they felt their programme promoting "self reliance" was undermined.

 $^{^{12}}$ This indicates that there was not an acute crisis facing households in the two districts but a "moderately difficult year in which already chronic food insecurity and poverty was exacerbated by poor harvests" (Harvey & Marongwe, 2006).

13 Concern felt that OGB should have shared beneficiary lists, discussed definitions of vulnerability

alleviate poverty, knowledge about the role and impact of cash will prepare practitioners in Mongu for a not too distant reality of the Kalomo model rollout.

This could lead to debates and discussions in Mongu about different forms of safety nets and the appropriate roles of different agencies. Apart from debating the merits and demerits of an emergency-based cash transfer programme, other interesting debates around linkages would be helpful for agencies. In a number of "reflective" discussions facilitated with OGB, Concern and their partners, it was evident that a cash transfer programme should in future be linked to longer-term development opportunities. For example, microfinance groups, farmers' organisations, and savings clubs would all add another dimension to the initiative.

Overall there appeared to be a growing recognition that cash-based responses may have advantages in certain circumstances over other, more traditional, responses. The Mongu cash-transfer programme provides a real opportunity to learn about transfers other than food, and a forum should be considered by OGB and Concern to debate and discuss the merits and limitations of such a scheme now that an external evaluation of the programme has being completed. Locally-based discussions forums, providing "safe places" to debate for a wide range of sectors including government, grass-roots organisations and NGOs, is a key mechanisms to improving responses to HIV/AIDS and livelihoods.

6. The Role of Farmer's Organisations

The Mongu District Farmer Association (MDFA) offers some important insights into building a sustainable programme with local commitment that engages directly with food security issues and the reality of AIDS as experienced by local farmers. The membership-organisation is self-initiated and through local grassroots structures works collectively to underpin a viable small-scale farming sector in the district. The District Association is constituted by a number of Area Farmers Associations at community level, which in turn are made up of Farmers Clubs at very local level. The MDFA is affiliated to the Zambian National Farmers Union but "not led by them" thereby giving expression to the importance of accessing national information but operating collectively at a district level. District level partnerships include the DDMC, the District Commissioner's office and organisations like Concern, which are partnering them to build capacity.

Key questions discussed with the MDFA was their role in increasing access to the markets for the farmers and the extent to which the opportunities presented filter through to the vulnerable groups of society. It has been suggested in the development literature and reiterated in the field that farmer organisations perform three distinct functions in relation to addressing the production and marketing problems faced by farmers. Firstly, farmer groups take the lead in identifying and consolidating members' demands for goods and services, thereby lowering the costs of commercial activities of doing business with smallholder farmers. In other words, create a local market. Secondly, farmer organisations lower their members' production costs through the bulking of activities, especially group transport and group purchase of inputs, realising economies of scale where otherwise they could not as individuals. Thirdly, farmer organisations provide goods and services, such as access to information on prices and markets.

Although the focus group discussion with the MDFA was not detailed enough to corroborate all these issues, some important insights were gained about collective action in Mongu. In particular the third function identified above was emphasised by the MDFA in that accessing information around markets was seen as a priority. It

was recognised that a marketing system needed to be developed to give impetus to small-scale producers and enrich the local economy. It seemed that small-scale production in Mongu had not yet reached a level where the bulking of production activities (creating economies of scale) or group demands for services were major concerns. The sector was not yet developed enough for these to be prioritised although there were requests for scotch carts and a vehicle to enable produce to be collected and to ease access to members. The Association claimed that it did help ensure that marginalised or poorer farmers voices arte heard through their structures. This was in some ways confirmed when the chairperson ensured that all members, and in particular women, were given the opportunity to express their opinions.

The MDFA offers an interesting example of self-sufficiency in a context where most local organisations identify "dependency" as a problem. The concept of "self sufficiency" as expressed by the MDFA was essentially a response to what they perceived as a culture of "hand-outs" since independence and an inconsistent and very general agricultural policy established by national government that did not truly benefit the diversity and complexity of Barotseland within Western Province¹⁴. They also recognised structural limitations to farming in the Western Province (the "most neglected of the nine province"), in particular an inadequate road infrastructure, smaller bridges to connect isolated areas, and a weak extension service including a neglect of any veterinary services. The impact of HIV/AIDS was also identified as a problem, particularly for younger people who were becoming sick as a result of the virus. This was recognised as impacting on entire families and their ability to farm productively.

The MDFA appeared to be a useful vehicle for Concern to identifying appropriate support needs, which required response either through existing channels or linking to the state. For example, many members argued that they needed to find ways to add value to their produce in order to become commercially viable, an express objective of the group. In this regard the MDFA identified the need to understand food preservation to sustain households during times of hunger, to enable markets to be found in time and to eliminate waste, particularly after the glut of mangoes and tomatoes that occurred each year. This included understanding appropriate technologies and the development of storage infrastructure such as sheds. A major limitation facing the farmers was the lack of government extension services, iterated by the fact that they received very little in terms of expertise or advice outside of the NGO sector. They also expressed a desire to implement conservation farming in Mongu, using techniques such as organic fertiliser, intercropping, and crop diversification and rotation.

Another dimension to the MDFA was that of providing a "home-grown" role model for communities struggling with livelihood insecurity. The Association believed that their experience should be shared across Mongu using radio and other media, and through the establishment of a tape and document library where aspirant farmers can learn "from other farmers". The Association also identified the role of media, particularly radio, in HIV/AIDS awareness campaigns, which were not seen to access rural areas. A limitation to radio was that many farmers did not possess radio or could afford new batteries, which raised the question of disseminating wind-up radios along Association structures.

_

¹⁴ When discussing "food for work" and "food relief", the MDFA believed that these had "killed a culture of independence" through undermining agriculture. They requested that NGOs move away from hand-outs to encouraging cultivation through the provision of expertise and seeds that might underpin independent farming.

Working with groups of farmers, particularly groups that have emerged from grassroots organisations, provides real opportunities for Concern and Oxfam to strengthen livelihood activities within their programme areas, as well as creating channels through which HIV/AIDS interventions can be delivered.

7. Women for Change

Women for Change is a Zambian NGO committed to working with and empowering remote rural communities, especially women, through gender analysis and awareness raising, human rights education, popular education methodologies and advocacy.

Having worked with both government and INGOs, Women for Change (WFC) believe that both need to be more accountable to the people "for whom" they exist¹⁵. Reflecting on their partnerships with INGOs, WFC believe that external agencies must be clear about their role in Zambia: as programme or project funders, as facilitators of development or as implementers. The blurring of these roles and sudden changes in approach during projects has created some confusion amongst partners on some occasions. As such, WFC have been prepared to hold their partners accountable, believing that such advocacy will build a deeper commitment to citizenship in Zambia as opposed to an attitude of regarding Zambians, who are often poor and rural as no more than subjects.

In Mongu and Kaoma, WFC has facilitated a number of self-help initiatives as a way of dealing with rural poverty and gender inequality. Some of the groups that have been assisted include minorities in the province, who have migrated from nearby countries or other provinces in Zambia. These groups are regarded as vulnerable to livelihood insecurity because the Barotseland Chiefdom comprised of indigenous Lozi have not considered minority groups as first class citizens but as foreigners ineligible for assistance. On reflection WFC maintain that working with traditional leaders has been relatively difficult in Western Province compared to other provinces. This is mainly due to the fact that the Barotseland Chiefdom has strict, closed and well-established structures, which are not very permissive for interaction.

Part of their operational practice is for WFC staff to live amongst the communities with which they work. This is based upon the principle that if staff are integrated into the village system, then WFC will have a role to play in finding joint solutions with communities that will be sustainable. WFC believes that a top-down approach with infrequent community interaction would achieve little in terms of "transferring assets and skills". Although this approach has been challenging, not least because communities often prefer receiving direct help from outside, it has been effective in building trust and developing joint solutions to challenges facing remote communities. The space created through such an approach has enabled WFC to effectively promote gender awareness and advocate for change around women's rights at village level. This has also led to some communities "speaking for themselves" when lobbying for government services, which has been more effective than an NGO being accused by politicians of "speaking on behalf of others".

The HIV and gender focus of WFC is embedded in a rights-based approach, which attempts to link both social and economic rights of women. For example, customary practices, which might make women susceptible to HIV, are confronted simultaneously to focusing on making women economically empowered so that they

¹⁵ These views were expressed during an interview and have appeared in several recent project proposals developed by Women for Change.

are not forced by default into to exchanging sex during times of stress. WFC maintains engaging gender and HIV issues more directly is more effective than focusing on them as a crosscutting issue across programmes.