

Civil Society Statement on Policy Coherence
(Signed by 40 Networks and NGOs)

ECOSOC Special High-Level meeting with the Bretton Woods Institutions
and the World Trade Organization (April 14, 2003)

The UN Financing for Development (FFD) conference produced a consensus among all governments on the need to enhance the coherence and consistency of the international monetary, financial and trading systems, to ensure that they support the internationally agreed development goals. These goals include not only those contained in the Millennium Declaration, but also the agreed outcomes of the past decade's UN Conferences on gender, social development, environment, and so forth.

As one of the tracks for the FFD follow-up process, the ECOSOC High-Level dialogue with the Bretton Woods Institutions and the WTO has been effectively empowered by the Monterrey Consensus Document to propose systemic reforms to ensure that multilateral financial, trade and monetary rules work together to achieve the internationally agreed development goals.

The undersigned NGOs and networks believe that, in order to fulfill this task, the ECOSOC High-level meeting must address several crucial issues that are listed below. We urge you to place these issues on the joint ECOSOC/ BWIs / WTO agenda, and to create Working Groups or initiatives to follow up on them. During such a follow up process, we are willing to provide more detailed inputs and research, and would welcome the opportunity to work jointly with ECOSOC members and other stakeholders.

- I. The role of trade in developing countries' external debt problem
- II. The role of debt as a root cause of imbalances and asymmetries in the trade system
- III. The joint impact of the conditionalities attached to multilateral and bilateral aid and loans, and the terms of multilateral trade agreements
- IV. The impact of macroeconomic and exchange rate policy coordination on the multilateral trade system
- V. How to improve the design and function of the WTO Balance of Payments Safeguards provisions
- VI. Persistent instability in the global financial system and its impact on the multilateral trade system
- VII. The role of ODA in supporting a development-friendly trade agenda
- VIII. The definition of appropriate technical assistance and capacity-building mechanisms

ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. THE TRADE ROOTS OF EXTERNAL DEBT PROBLEMS

The chronic and increasing levels of debt experienced by developing countries are perpetuated and exacerbated by structural imbalances of the trading system, including:

worsening terms of trade, lack of capacity and enabling conditions to diversify and upgrade their export productive capacity and serious trade distortions caused by developed countries' policies. In this regard, the ECOSOC High-Level meeting should address:

A. The need to significantly improve the price and price stability of commodities of export interest to developing countries.¹ The ECOSOC High-level meeting should:

a) Explore the ways in which an international commodity policy or agreement could improve and stabilize such prices.

b) Assess the extent of dumping - the sale at prices below the cost of production - of agricultural commodities in world markets. Institute corrective and reparatory measures, in recognition of the significant damage done to developing country budgets by the persistence of dumping by developed country exporters, through their reliance on export subsidies, domestic support programs designed to lower commodity prices, non-enforcement of anti-trust legislation, abuse of food aid and other policies. Developing countries' debt should be written off to the amount that dumping has undermined their foreign exchange revenues.

c) Study the ways in which the unregulated use of hedging instruments has contributed to the increased volatility of the prices of commodities, with an aim at recommending the appropriate financial regulatory measures

B. The need to create an enabling environment for developing countries to diversify and upgrade their export productive capacity. The ECOSOC High-Level Meeting should:

a) Propose ways to resolve developmental terms of trade problems of developing countries arising from the way in which industrial countries market access commitments and trade practices hinder the ability of developing countries to upgrade and diversify their economies into skill and technology-intensive and higher value-added production. These proposals should include the enlargement of market access opportunities for developing countries with regards to commodities of export interest to them, processed commodities and industrial products.

b) Recognize the right of developing countries to fully use such trade-related investment measures (TRIMs) as they deem appropriate to ensure that some of the benefits from foreign investment go to and help build and upgrade the industrial base in the host economy, thereby ensuring an increased value-added to exports by the domestic economy. Changing the rules in the TRIMs agreement is therefore essential in order to provide for full flexibility for developing countries in their use of TRIMs.

c) Study the ways and amounts in which the implementation of the Agreement on Trade-Related Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPs) is likely to compound developing countries levels of external debt, with a view to recommending changes in the rules of such agreement. It is important that the ECOSOC High-Level Meeting includes in such assessment the current and potential new sources of South-North capital transfers arising from developing countries'

¹ The clear correlation between primary commodity dependence and debt is shown by the fact that 85 % of LDCs dependent on non-oil primary commodities have an unsustainable external debt.

use of technology needed to upgrade domestic productive capacities, use of newly patented agricultural inputs and purchases of medicines needed to prevent health crises.

II. THE DEBT ROOTS OF TRADE PROBLEMS

High levels of external debt have, per se, a negative impact on the ability of indebted countries to take advantage of the trading system. A significant reduction of debt burdens, by way of debt relief or cancellation, would free resources they need to increase the public investment on export capacity improvement and loosen the foreign exchange constraints that limit their options for implementing a well-sequenced trade policy at the service of national development goals. The ECOSOC High-Level Meeting should acknowledge this and:

- a) Consider the possible establishment of a debt relief mechanism through which debt reduction initiatives can help developing countries strengthen supply-side capacity for export upgrading and diversification and open more options for trade policy design. Such debt relief or cancellation should not be at the expense of official development assistance or already committed debt relief.
- b) Request a halt in the application of trade-related conditionalities² attached to debt relief programs, at least until the ways in which debt problems curtail the ability of the indebted country to engage on the trading system in favorable terms have been adequately studied and addressed.

III. INTERACTION OF AID AND LOAN CONDITIONALITIES AND TRADE AGREEMENTS

The IMF, multilateral development banks and bilateral donors' conditionalities and criteria for assessing country policies attached to development finance provided to developing countries have usually required countries to open their markets in several areas. Open market reforms were attached to development finance arrangements regardless of the level of development of the countries concerned and the need to pace the reforms so as to ensure that critical sectors of the domestic economy in the recipient countries would be able to withstand competition. During the Uruguay Round negotiations and beyond, many developing countries have been required to liberalize unilaterally, thereby losing legitimate means for obtaining reciprocal market access or other types of concessions in trade negotiations. The ECOSOC High-Level Meeting should:

- a) Recommend that international financial institutions and bilateral donors, in designing trade-related conditionalities and country policy assessment criteria, assess the impact that the required policy reforms will have on the borrowing country, as they interact with current or potential trade obligations the country has to abide by. Such assessment must include the constraints that those trade obligations are likely to place on the flexibility and ability of the government to deal with possible failures of the reform in the long term.

² Every time the expression "Trade-related conditionalities" is used in this letter, it should be understood in a wide sense, as encompassing privatization and deregulation conditionalities, because of the way in which these conditionalities interact with GATS and other WTO agreements.

b) Identify the ways in which bilateral and multilateral aid and loan trade-related conditionalities and criteria have reduced the negotiating options of the recipient countries in past multilateral trade negotiations with a view to establishing a formal mechanism that grants them corresponding credit in ongoing negotiations.

c) In carrying out the assessments under a) and b), conditions typically associated with output-based aid (OBA) delivery mechanisms, like the introduction of cost-recovery and private participation in the market, deserve special scrutiny because of their potential impact on the ability of the state to regulate and subsidize basic services and to maintain such services exempted from GATS obligations.

d) Request that non-governmental organizations and local and national peoples' movements and networks be recognized as legitimate participants in the assessment processes referred to under a), b) and c) and should have access to the information used in carrying them out, which should include all information on WTO members legally bound commitments, as well as pending offers and requests.

e) Recommend the halt of the application of trade-related liberalization conditionalities and criteria attached to bilateral and multilateral loans and grants, at least until the requests in a), b) and c) have been fulfilled and appropriate corrective measures have been taken.

IV. EXCHANGE RATE STABILITY

The current multilateral trade system rests on the assumption of an international monetary system that provides exchange rate stability, a function that the IMF was originally created to perform. However, since the fall of the *par value* Bretton Woods system in the 1970s, instability and misalignments of currency exchange rates have distorted real comparative advantages and the value of concessions on tariff and price-based trade liberalization measures agreed to in successive trade negotiations. The IMF, having lost its leverage over countries whose currencies are held as international reserves, has proved to be an inefficient instrument for exercising surveillance over the monetary policies of those countries. At the same time, the ad hoc coordination mechanisms in place, such as coordination of exchange rate policies by leading Central Banks, are clearly failing, as implicitly recognized by all governments in the FFD conference outcome document.³ The ECOSOC High-Level Meeting should:

a) Recommend, in line with the mandate of the FFD conference, the creation of a mechanism for ensuring the coordination of macroeconomic and exchange rate policies among currency reserve countries. This mechanism should take into account the impact of dramatic exchange rate fluctuations and misalignments on the trade performance and debt-service obligations of developing country economies.

³ Monterrey Consensus of the UN International Conference on Financing for Development, para. 54 reads "Strong coordination of macroeconomic policies among the leading industrial countries is critical to greater global stability and reduced exchange rate volatility, which are essential to economic growth as well as for enhanced and predictable financial flows to developing countries and countries with economies in transition"

b) Call for the creation of a regular and predictable mechanism to ensure that developing countries can opt-out of their trade obligations to the extent required to compensate for the impact of the exchange rate misalignments on their economies. This is a necessary complement to the previous bullet point, since it is likely that some degree of currency exchange rate instability will continue to exist, leaving non-reserve currency countries to disproportionately bear its impact.

V. BALANCE OF PAYMENTS SAFEGUARDS PROVISIONS

Several provisions of the GATT 1994 and GATS allow for Members facing balance of payments problems to introduce temporary current or capital account controls, thereby departing from their obligations. Those provisions also allow Members to depart from their obligations in order to protect sectors of interest for the domestic economy. However, several flaws in the design and functioning of these provisions have prevented them from working effectively towards those purposes. The ECOSOC High-Level Meeting should:

a) Propose a redefinition of the conditions under which countries can introduce current and capital account controls. This should lead to greater flexibility for developing countries facing balance of payments problems generated by developed country policies or other external shocks such as instability in financial flows, contagion, and increases in debt service. Countries going through these situations should also be able to implement controls more rapidly and, in some cases, on a preventive basis.

b) Address the need to rethink the role of the IMF, especially with respect to Article XV:2 of GATT 1994 and Article XII:5(e) of GATS, in judging the adequacy of the country reserves and other prerequisites that countries need to fulfill in order to implement current and capital account controls. As long as industrial countries that have conflicting trade interests with the country seeking to implement the restrictions continue to play a decisive role in the governance of the IMF, critical questions of conflict of interest will remain. Until those questions have been addressed, the IMF judgment on the matter should be given only non-binding and recommendatory, rather than mandatory and conclusive, weight. Additionally, especially if the restrictions are introduced to fulfill development purposes, key judgments regarding the timeliness and need for invoking the provisions should be left to the decision of domestic policy processes in the country concerned, as they are a matter of development policy choices belonging in the domestic realm.

VI. INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL STABILITY

Global financial stability is an indispensable element for the increased employment and outputs that would characterize a successful trading system. Moreover, financial instability and crises bear a direct impact on the ability of countries to access trade-financing capital, impacts that are more acutely felt by developing and small economies. However, recent and repeated financial crises in developing countries continue to call into question the effectiveness of current global arrangements to achieve global financial stability. The ECOSOC High-Level Meeting should:

a) Address the currently overlooked need to achieve appropriate regulation of hedge funds, short-term capital flows and highly-leveraged financial institutions in source countries. (See also I.A.c) above on this point)

b) Assess the current and potential impact of rules dealing with the liberalization of financial services on increased instability of financial flows with an aim to propose significant reforms and reversals in such rules.

VII. OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE AND FINANCING

It is important to reform development aid policies and practices regarding the appropriateness of the institutional vehicles used to provide it, the conditions attached to it (many of them traditionally trade-related, see III above) and the role of civil society in designing those conditions and monitoring their implementation. In the context of those reforms, the creation of official development assistance (ODA) financing mechanisms for balance of payments support and for assistance to countries undergoing unexpected export shortfalls could be helpful in support of the trade and development efforts of developing countries. This should be consistent with the objective of achieving at least the target of 0.7 percent of GNP as ODA to developing countries (of which 0.15 percent to 0.20 percent should go to LDCs)⁴ by 2010, in support of positive trade and development measures.

VIII. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND CAPACITY-BUILDING

For trade policies to be effective as a tool for economic development, especially for developing countries, they need to be accompanied and reinforced by a development policy framework and agenda that covers domestic regulatory issues, institution building, and sound macroeconomic management, among other factors. The ECOSOC High-Level Meeting agenda should therefore also include activities to identify the technical assistance modalities and capacity-building mechanisms that are most suitable to create the infrastructure and technical capabilities needed to support economic development initiatives. Along these lines, the creation of independent national level capacity for carrying out these activities should be a priority.

Center of Concern (US) James E. Hug, SJ, President	International Gender and Trade Network Maria Riley
European Network for Debt and Development Francis Lemoine, Debt and Finance Policy Analyst	Network Women in Development Europe Maria Karadenizli, Network and Advocacy Officer
Caritas Internationalis Duncan MacLaren, Secretary General	New Rules for Global Finance Coalition Jo Marie Griesgraber, Chair
Social Watch Network Roberto Bissio, Coordinator	International Jesuit Network for Development Bernard Lestienne, SJ, President

⁴ See e.g. UN Programme of Action for the Least Developed Countries for the Decade 2001-2010, para. 83; Monterrey Consensus of the UN International Conference on Financing for Development, para. 42; and Plan of Implementation of the UN World Summit on Sustainable Development, para. 85(a).

Oxfam International Phil Twyford, Advocacy Director	Christian Aid Claire Melamed, Senior Policy Officer
World Vision International Alan Whaites, Director, International Policy and Advocacy	Bretton Woods Project (UK) Jeff Powell, Communications & Research Officer
Citizens' Network for Essential Services Nancy Alexander, Director	Jubilee USA Network Marie Clarke, National Coordinator
The Development Group for Alternative Policies (US) Steve Hellinger	World Confederation of Labor, Washington Office Paul Tennessee, Director
Southern and Eastern African Trade, Information and Negotiations Institute (SEATINI) Yash Tandon, Director	Instituto del Tercer Mundo (Uruguay) Carlos Abin, Executive Director
World Economy, Ecology and Development (Germany) Ann-Kathrin Schneider, Project Manager	Catholic Agency for Overseas Development (CAFOD) Duncan Green
Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy (US) Sophia Murphy, Director	Agir Ici (France) Nicolas Guihard
Global Plan Initiative (US) Chuck Woolery, Advocacy Director	Action Aid USA Lisa Veneklasen, Interim Director
Rural Reconstruction Nepal-RRN Arjun K. Karki, PhD, President	Church World Service John McCullough
Irish Congress of Trade Unions (Ireland) David Begg, General Secretary	Bank Information Center (US) Bruce Jenkins, Policy Director
Swiss Coalition of Development Organizations Bruno Gurtner, Senior Economist	Trocaire (Ireland) Justin Kilcullen, Director
United Methodist Church, General Board of Church and Society James E. Winkler, General Secretary	Women's Environment and Development Organization (US) June Zeitlin, Executive Director
International Presentation Association of the Sisters of the Presentation Sharon Altendorf, PBVM	The Congregations of St. Joseph Sister Carol Zinn, SSJ
Elizabeth Seton Federation Sr. Marie Elena Dio	Missionary Oblates of Mary Immaculate Seamus P. Finn OMI, Justice/Peace & Integrity of Creation Office
Common Ground -USA, UN NGO CSD Women's Caucus Mary Rose Kaczorowski	Medical Mission Sisters' Alliance for Justice Janet Gottschalk, MMS
International Environmental Policy and Development (US) Patricia Gay Webb, President	NETWORK: A National Catholic Social Justice Lobby Kathy Thornton RSM, National Coordinator

For further information about this statement please contact Aldo Caliari at aldo@coc.org or at 1(202) 635 – 2757 x 123